Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

Operator: Denbury Onshore, LLC

Well Name/Number: 0506 OW
Location: SE NW Section 5 T9S R54E
County: Powder River , MT; Field (or Wildcat) Bell Creek
Air Ovality
Air Quality
(possible concerns)
Long drilling time: No, 5 to 10 days drilling time.
Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): No, single or double drilling rig, to drill to 4750' TD.
Possible H2S gas production: None anticipated.
In/near Class I air quality area: No Class I air quality area.
Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under
75-2-211.
10 2 211.
Mitigation:
Air quality permit (AQB review)
Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas
Special equipment/procedures requirements
Other:
Comments: No special concerns – using a single or double derrick drilling rig to drill
to 4750' TD.
Water Quality
(possible concerns)
Salt/oil based mud: No, freshwater and freshwater mud system.
High water table: No high water table anticipated.
Surface drainage leads to live water: No, closest drainage is an unnamed ephemeral tributary
drainage to Wright Creek also an ephemeral drainage, about 3/8 of a mile to the east northeast
from this location.
Water well contamination: None, closest water wells are about ¾ of a mile to the southeast
and about ¾ of a mile to the northwest from this location. Depth of these wells are 126' and
342'. This well will drill surface hole with freshwater to 1000' and will set and cement 8 5/8"
surface casing to protect groundwater and to cover the Fox Hills aquifer.
Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy clay soils.
Class I stream drainage: No Class I stream drainages in the area of review.
Mitigation:
Lined reserve pit
X Adequate surface casing
Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
Closed mud systemOff-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)
Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility) Other:
Comments: 1000' of surface casing cemented to surface adequate to protect
freshwater zones. Also, fresh water mud systems to be used.
Teshwater 2016s. 7436, fresh water flux systems to be used.
Soils/Vegetation/Land Use
~
(possible concerns)
Steam crossings: No, crossings anticipated.

High erosion potential: No, moderate cut, up to 11.0' and a small fill, up to 4.7', required.
Loss of soil productivity: No, location will be restored after drilling, if nonproductive. If
productive, unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed.
Unusually large wellsite: No, 194'X240' location size required.
Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use is a cultivated field.d
Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight
Mitigation
Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)
Exception location requested
X Stockpile topsoil

 Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review) X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive Special construction methods to enhance reclamation Other
Comments: Access will be over existing county road and existing well servicing roads. A short new access will be built off an existing well servicing road into this
location. Cuttings will be buried in the lined reserve pit. Freshwater drilling fluids will be
hauled to Class II disposal well or allowed to dry in the pit. Pit will be allowed to dry and
backfilled with subsoils and clays. No special concerns.
Health Hazards/Noise
(possible concerns)
Proximity to public facilities/residences: Nearest residences are about 3/8 of a mile to
the east, about ½ of a mile to the east southeast and ¾ of a mile to the west from this
location
Possibility of H2S: None anticipated.
Size of rig/length of drilling time: Single or double drilling rig/short 5 to 10 days drilling
<u>time.</u>
Mitigation:
_X_Proper BOP equipment
Topographic sound barriers
H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan
Special equipment/procedures requirements
Other:
Comments:Adequate surface casing and operational BOP equipment should mitigate any problems No concerns
miligate any problems No concerns
Wildlife/recreation
(possible concerns)
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.
Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.
Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No
Conflict with game range/refuge management: No
Threatened or endangered Species: <u>Threatened or endangered species in Powder</u>
River County is the Black-Footed Ferret. Candidate species are the Greater Sage
Grouse and the Sprague's Pipit. NH Tracker website lists two (2) species of concern.
They are as follows: Ferruginous Hawk and Snapping Turtle.
Mitigation:
Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
Other: Comments:Surface is private cultivated land. There maybe species of
concern that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the
surface owner as to what he would like done, if a species of concern is discovered at this

Historical/Cultural/Paleontological

location. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.

(possible concerns)
Proximity to known sites None identified None identified
Mitigation
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)
Other:
Comments:Surface use is private cultivated land. There maybe possible
historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the
operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or
not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.
junsuiction over private surface lands.
Social/Economic
(nossible concorns)
(possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base
Substantial effect of tax base Create demand for new governmental services
Population increase or relocation
Comments:Monitoring well in an existing oil field, Bell Creek Oil FieldNo
concerns
<u>odriocino</u>
Remarks or Special Concerns for this site
Well is a 4750' Muddy Formation monitoring well in the Bell Creek Oil Field.
Well is a 4750 Midday Formation monitoring well in the Bell Greek Oil Fleid.
Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects
·
No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur.
No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur.
No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur.
No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur.
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not)
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Prepared by (BOGC): <u>/s/Steven Sasaki</u>
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Prepared by (BOGC): <u>/s/Steven Sasaki</u>
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Prepared by (BOGC): <u>/s/Steven Sasaki</u> (title:) <u>Chief Field Inspector</u> Date: <u>September 30, 2011</u>
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Prepared by (BOGC): <u>/s/Steven Sasaki</u> (title:) <u>Chief Field Inspector</u>
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Prepared by (BOGC): /s/Steven Sasaki
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Prepared by (BOGC): <u>/s/Steven Sasaki</u> (title:) <u>Chief Field Inspector</u> Date: <u>September 30, 2011</u> Other Persons Contacted: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Prepared by (BOGC): <u>/s/Steven Sasaki</u> (title:) <u>Chief Field Inspector</u> Date: <u>September 30, 2011</u> Other Persons Contacted: <u>Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website</u> (Name and Agency)
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Prepared by (BOGC): <u>/s/Steven Sasaki</u> (title:) <u>Chief Field Inspector</u> Date: <u>September 30, 2011</u> Other Persons Contacted: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website

<u>September 30, 2011</u>
(date)
US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website (Name and Agency) ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA COUNTIES, Powder River County (subject discussed)
September 30, 2011 (date)
Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (FWP) (Name and Agency) Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3, T9S R54E (subject discussed)
_September 30, 2011(date)
If location was inspected before permit approval: Inspection date: Inspector: Others present during inspection: